

MATELESS MEN AND WOMEN FLEEDED OF MUCH MONEY.

Clever Couple Answered Advertisements in Matrimonial Papers.

Rochester, N. Y., Oct. 26.—(Spl.)—A big matrimonial swindle has been unearthed in this city by the Postal Department upon the complaint of a Nebraska man. Three hundred persons have been victimized, according to a book found in the possession of the man and woman under arrest, who give the names of Chris and Annie Warner. Each has four aliases. Their operations have been conducted in Buffalo, Cleveland, Toronto and this city. In Buffalo they were known as Warner, where they swindled two credit houses. At Cleveland they were known as Mr. and Mrs. M. Glossner. Toronto people know them as Henry Reimhold and wife, and in this city as A. Steadman and wife. The police say the man's right name

is Christian Glossner, of Amsterdam, N. Y., and that he was once connected with the state militia in that city. Their scheme was to clip matrimonial papers and answer them. By the means of handsome pictures they allured the wifeless man who wanted "a blonde," or a "brunette." They asked for railroad fare that they might come to Nebraska, or wherever the man wished a wife or the woman a husband. L. E. Harding, of O'Neil, Neb., advertised for a helpmeet to share his sorrow, joy and bank account. Mrs. Steadman asked for money to buy a ticket. Harding sent \$30 and \$10 for expenses, but received no response and notified the postal authorities. In Cleveland Glossner lived on Oregon street.

SETTING A GOOD EXAMPLE--

MRS. ROOSEVELT SAYS SHE CAN DRESS ON \$300 PER YEAR.



One of New York's Well-Dressed Women.

New York, Oct. 25.—Mrs. Roosevelt's statement that she can dress well on \$300 a year, although she will now have to spend more than that because of her position as the wife of the President, has brought forth many conflicting opinions in this city.

Theodore Sutor, a prominent lawyer, whose wife is one of New York's most fashionable women, says that Mrs. Roosevelt is setting a common sense example which should be followed.

"The competition in expensive and showy dressing is the bane of American womanhood," he said. "It seems to me that matters of dress are about all that interest two-thirds of the women of this country when they get together on social occasions."

"I know young men who are struggling along on moderate salaries, who are rising, but have all they can do to make both ends meet, and whose greatest bill is for the clothing of their ornamental wives."

"Women who can afford to spend thousands of dollars for clothes every year should remember that this is a country of poor people. The majority are poor. Therefore they should not dress in public in a manner to tempt other women who have not their wealth to keep pace with them in matters of clothing. The real lady dresses in taste always, but modestly and in a manner aimed to escape attention."

"Here, let us say, is a young man who is valued by his employer. He is getting good pay and realizes that this is the time for him to lay up for a rainy day. Across the street is a man who gets five times the salary the other does. His wife dresses expensively."

"The wife of the first man immediately determines she must have as nice things as the woman across the street, no matter what the difference in her husband's condition. This discourages the young man. It is the cause, in my opinion, of nine-tenths of the defalcations and bank robberies."

"In Europe it is different. There the richest women dress in the most modest and unassuming style. They realize that true culture and refinement are never self-demonstrative. I do not know how Mrs. Roosevelt manages to dress on \$300 a year, but I am sure, if she says so, that she can do it, and do it well, too."

A well known Fifth avenue modiste said:

"It is impossible for a woman of fashion to dress in keeping with her position on \$300 a year. Mrs. Roosevelt will not do it, now that she is the Mistress of the White House."

"I know many women of fashion in this city who dress well on what to some women of more means would seem a pittance."

"They do it because they have to. If they had more they would spend more. I have several customers who spend as much as ten thousand for their gowns alone. Their social position requires it."

Chicago, Oct. 24.—Chicago society women disagree with Mrs. Roosevelt in her opinion that \$300 is enough for "any woman of common sense" to dress on for a year. Upon one point, however, there is agreement. That is, that no standard exists. While nearly all say it depends on the woman, one society leader avers it depends on her husband. Dressmakers are about as far apart in their views as are their patrons.

Estimates ranging from Mrs. Roosevelt's figures to \$10,000 and more were given by women who ought to know.

"Mrs. Roosevelt is quite right in one particular," said Mrs. Harry G. Selfridge, who was the only society woman who agreed with the President's wife. "If a woman exercises great care in the selection of her costumes she can by utilizing parts of the previous year's dresses, wear clothes good enough to go into society at an annual outlay of three hundred dollars. Still, I don't think anyone who takes a prominent part in social affairs can do this. No costume with any character to it is to be obtained for as little as \$100."

"To me it seems to depend entirely on the husband. A wife should be attired according to her husband's means. The average society woman, I should say, expends from \$1,000 to \$5,000 annually for dress."

Dr. Sarah Hackett Stevenson believed it is almost impossible to make one good appearance in society on that amount.

A Michigan ave. modiste said: "I know that a muslin dress will look better on one woman than a costly gown of silk or other fine fabric will appear on another, though at first glance both are equally handsome. I have one customer who gets about eight dresses a year, each costing \$1,000 or more."

VICTORY

For the Yankee Wool Growers.

Reciprocity With Argentine Abandoned.

The Treaty Has Reduced Tariff 20 Per Cent.

Washington, D. C., Oct. 26.—(Spl.)—Woolgrowers of Ohio and California have achieved victory in an effort to have the administration abandon the proposed reciprocity treaty with Argentina. This treaty proposed a reduction in the tariff on wool from that country of 20 per cent. As Argentina is one of Uncle Sam's greatest competitors in wool growing, this would have wrought havoc with home growers. Cattle raisers also oppose this reciprocity treaty for the same reasons.

Kodol Dyspepsia Cure is not a mere stimulant to the nature. It affords the stomach complete and absolute rest by digesting the food you eat. You don't have to diet but can enjoy all the good food you want. Kodol Dyspepsia Cure instantly relieves that distressed feeling after eating, giving you new life and vigor.

William Warner, Gem Pharmacy, 113 S. Howard st.

ELECTION DAY

POLICE NAMED.

The following have been appointed special policemen for election day.

First ward—A. James Douglass; B. John M. Crawford; C. S. A. Chaffield; D. J. B. Swartz; E. J. S. Taggart.

Second ward—A. Matthew Tawki; B. Wm. C. Finney; C. H. E. Tewksberry; D. Wellington Brown.

Third ward—A. Warren Buckmaster; B. M. Carderilli; C. (to be named); D. Wm. Hazel; E. R. S. Campbell.

Fourth ward—A. H. H. Brown; B. Daniel M. Fasig; C. C. W. Hoskin.

Fifth ward—A. A. T. Hawn; B. J. J. Warner; C. Jacob Moore.

Sixth ward—A. Frank Cramer; B. Sylvester E. Adams; C. Wm. McCormish; D. H. VanHuyne; E. Lester Hastings.

Seventh ward—A. Ellas Moore; B. Fred Fogle; C. Wm. Downing; D. Enoch H. Jones.

Eighth ward—A. Wm. Elzholt; B. Michael Capper; C. Carl Schlager; D. Martin Kuntz; E. Geo. Abele.

Board of Election rooms, A. D. French.

MY, HOW CHIEF

DURKIN SMILES!

When he hears that the "Famous John T." is within a stone's throw of the swindlers.

When someone speaks about the bunco men returning to pay their bills.

Every time an economist talks of having the force reduced.

While rounding up and hustling dila-

tory Councilmen into the corral. When some luckless one before His Honor says, "Shure, John knows me; he'll tell yez I niver drunk a drop."

FINE WEATHER; MANY VISITORS.

(Special Correspondence.)

Munroe Falls, Oct. 22.—The fine weather was an excuse for quite a number of people to come to town Sunday. Mr. Murray, of the Boston store, Akron, called on Earl Reid; Mrs. Will Lewis and baby visited Mrs. Lewis's parents; Mr. and Mrs. Andrew Cowan of the Falls, called on friends; Mr. and Mrs. Geo. Hewitt and sons of Akron, called on Mr. Hewitt's mother; Mr. and Mrs. Snyder of Canton visited Mrs. Snyder's parents; N. Snowberger, Austin Harris, and friends, called on Mr. and Mrs. S. W. Harris. The shingles for a new roof to be put on the church is also to be papered. The Ladies' Aid furnished the money for both roofing and papering. C. N. Gaylord is at the Pan American Exposition this week.

Mr. E. P. Girard and family dined with Geo. Burns and wife Sunday. Henry Stromman started for Central Canada on a hunting expedition Monday, to be gone all winter. He is going with an Indian who worked with him at Silver Lake the past summer.

A Fiendish Attack.

An attack was lately made on C. F. Collier, of Cherokee, Iowa, that nearly proved fatal. It came through his kidneys. His back got so lame he could not stoop without great pain, nor sit in a chair except propped by cushions. No remedy helped him until he tried Electric Bitters, which effected such a wonderful change that he writes he feels like a new man. This marvelous medicine cures backache and kidney trouble, purifies the blood and builds up your health. Only 50c at all druggists.

NEWS PICKUPS FROM SHERMAN

(Special Correspondence.)

Sherman, Oct. 22.—Mr. and Mrs. Curtis Linderman, after a few weeks' stay at Canal Fulton, returned to Sherman to live. They are occupying the McLister house.

The concert given by the Baptist Sabbath school, Sunday, was well attended, and highly appreciated, especially the talk given by Mrs. Wood, of Barberton.

Mr. and Mrs. Nathan Harter and two children of Akron, spent Sunday at John Swain's.

Mr. and Mrs. Messner have removed from Baughman township, Wayne county, to one of the houses on the John Selberling farm. Mr. Messner will run a milk wagon to Barberton.

Dr. and Mrs. Burgett, of Wadsworth, and Mr. C. Cuskey, of Akron, dined at Fred Swain's, Sunday.

John Swain and daughter, after a fifteen-days' visit with Mr. Swain's brother, Fred, and family, returned to their home in Indiana last Monday. John Helt is again able to work. The physicians recently removed a tumor from his hip.

When suffering from racking cough, take a dose of Foley's Honey and Tar. The soreness will be relieved and a warm, grateful feeling and healing of the parts affected will be experienced. A. Warner, J. M. Laffer and J. Lamparter & Co.

MAY DRIVE

Them From State of Illinois.

Judge's Decision Against Corporations

If Not Set Aside Will Greatly Re- duce Taxation of Poor.

Chicago, Oct. 26.—(Spl.)—The jubilation following the sweeping corporation and franchise and taxing decision rendered Thursday by the Supreme Court has given way in a measure to the fear that many corporations will be driven from Illinois unless special corporate taxing laws are soon enacted. By the decision it is estimated that from \$200,000,000 to \$350,000,000 will be added to the assessment lists of Cook county. The city, the county, the Drainage Board and the public schools will have their coffers satisfactorily supplied with funds should existing laws not suffer alteration.

One noteworthy effect will be a reduction of the tax rate as a result of the great addition to the assessment lists, thus lifting a heavy burden off the shoulders of the poor.

The decision opens the way so those who have won the fight contend, for legal proceedings to collect back taxes for the last 25 years on corporation stock and franchises but to attempt to collect back taxes for a quarter of a century on the market values of the stock of corporations organized in Illinois. It is admitted by city officials that this would bankrupt the companies. That every corporation in the state is included in the decision is set forth plainly.

In the hands of Corporation Counsel Walker is now a list of 4,000 corporations having a capitalization of more than \$1,500,000,000. All these, it is argued, must come within the verdict.

NERO TO BE HIT AGAIN.

Quo Vadis and Rome to be Lectured on at Nimisila.

(Special Correspondence.)

Nimisila, Oct. 22.—Rev. and Mrs. Rohrbach will be in attendance at the meeting of Reformed classes, which will convene at Wadsworth this week.

Mr. and Mrs. David Keller were at Polk, Ashland county, last week, at the funeral of Lewis Utz, brother of Mrs. Keller.

Mrs. Harry Buller and children of Akron, spent last week with relatives.

Mrs. Amanda Guley will remove to Akron Thursday.

Sunday visitors in town were Chas. Burns, Harry Johnson and Knight Humbert, of Akron, and Sue Messner and Levi Grubbs, of Canal Fulton.

Rev. Mr. Patten occupied the Unit-

Kodol

Digests what you Eat

Dyspepsia Cure

When the stomach is diseased all the other organs suffer, hence fatal diseases of the heart, liver, lungs and kidneys are often the result of improper digestion. KODOL DYSPEPSIA CURE contains all the natural digestive fluids and by digesting what you eat, it cures the indigestion without aid from the stomach, allowing it to rest and regain its healthy condition and permitting you to eat all the good food you want. "My rest is often disturbed at night by irregular heart action which I believe is on account of my stomach being overloaded with undigested food. I keep a bottle of KODOL DYSPEPSIA CURE nearby and a small dose always gives me instant relief. Ed. Thomas, Leitchfield, Ky."

It can't help but do you good

Prepared by E. C. DeWitt & Co., Chicago. The 51. bottle contains 2 1/2 times the 20c. size.

The favorite household remedy for coughs, colds, croup, bronchitis, grippe, throat and lung troubles is **ONE MINUTE Cough Cure**. It cures quickly.

WM. WARNER, GEM PHARMACY, 113 HOWARD ST.

ed Evangelical pulpit and Rev. Rohrbach preached at the Reformed church Sunday evening.

Rev. S. U. Snyder of Dayton, will lecture at the Reformed church Saturday evening, Oct. 26. Subject, "Quo Vadis, or Rome in the Days of Nero."

After exposure or when you feel a cold coming on, take a dose of Foley's Honey and Tar. It never fails to stop a cold if taken in time.

A. Warner, J. M. Laffer and J. Lamparter & Co.

Women Who Say They Cannot Dress on \$300 a Year.

New York, Oct. 26.—Can a woman dress well in society on \$300 a year? "Yes," says Mrs. Theodore Roosevelt, mistress of the White House. "No," say many prominent women of New York society circles.

Professional Women's League members are not agreed on this subject, as is demonstrated by their replies to



They Have Opinions Upon Economy In Dress.

queries by reporters. "I would see Mrs. Roosevelt's \$300 and raise it \$200," remarked Miss Louisa Shannon with a twinkle in her eye. "That is my answer."

"Dress on \$300 a year? Oh, my! I want \$5,000 a year," exclaimed Mrs. Erma Fields, of the Professional Women's League and of "The Helmet of Navarre Company." "Perhaps I can manage to get along with \$1,000, however."

Louise Eldridge agrees with Mrs. Roosevelt. "I think she is perfectly right," said she. "I can manage very well to dress on \$300 a year. In fact, I know I should have no trouble in keeping inside of that limit."

"I do not see how a society woman can dress on that amount," said Mrs. G. H. Childs. "I know I can't do it. I should like to know how it is done," she concluded.

Mrs. F. G. Ross said that "all I can say is that I wish I had \$300 a year to spend for dresses. I would manage to keep within that limit and enjoy myself."

Some women believe they could manage it if compelled by force of circumstances to expend but \$300 for dresses yearly, but preferred not to contemplate such a situation. Among these is Mrs. Webb, who says:

"It would be impossible in my station of life to dress on \$300. I cannot, and should be very sorry to see the time come when I should be compelled to do so. I dislike to contemplate it, but if it were necessary I might be able to manage it; not in my present station, however."

Mrs. Place disagrees with Mrs. Webb. "I could dress on \$300 annually and have no trouble in doing so," said Mrs. Webb. "I am with Mrs. Roosevelt. She is right in her statement."

Mrs. H. Herbert Knowles is one of the women who believe that management is the secret of Mrs. Roosevelt's success in dressing on \$300 a year.

"By studying," she said, "and exerting a little care, probably now and then doing without little things which are sometimes unnecessary, I think the sum mentioned would be sufficient."

"Of course," continued Mrs. Knowles, "one should have a supply of gowns on hand. But I really think it could be done."

Mrs. Louise Muld also agrees that

Mrs. Roosevelt's figure is ample. "Of course I except the Vanderbilts and millionaires of that class," she added. "When one does not have to count the dollars it is not necessary to dress on \$300, but I do not believe a woman would have any trouble in doing it, if necessary."

Washington, Oct. 26.—While Mrs. Roosevelt, the mistress of the White House, maintains that a woman can dress well in society on \$300 a year, she admits that it is probable a larger outlay will now be required for her gowns in order to keep up the dignity of White House social functions. Here is her plan for dressing on \$300 annually:

Every gown she had was so selected that it would wear with slight repairing for three seasons. In her case evening gowns, always considered the most perishable part of a woman's garb, possessed a five years' lease of life.

These gowns are of the richest and heaviest silk, satin or granadine and were simply designed. For three years they were remodeled and adorned with the latest trimmings, or some of the priceless lace which Mrs. Roosevelt has inherited from her grandmother, who was an Englishwoman, and a connoisseur of lace.

When they would no longer permit of remodeling she had them made into waists or dressing sacques. An assortment of silk waists is a large item, but with Mrs. Roosevelt's plan it is possible for the woman of small means to possess a large number of these pretty adjuncts to a complete toilet.

Another feature of Mrs. Roosevelt's wardrobe was that she wore black and white almost exclusively for evening and street wear, and only in house gowns or demi-toilets did she select bright colors. No materials have a longer life than black and white. They clean without fading and, according to Mrs. Roosevelt, are the most elegant in appearance.

Gowns of the same color can be combined and Mrs. Roosevelt has often worn a gown which made a handsome appearance but which was made of three other gowns.

The ladies who compose the Cabinet are noted for their simplicity in dress, and Mrs. Roosevelt's announcement is in keeping with their tastes.